

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to air an anti-Kerry documentary before the election is obviously a partisan decision. Public airwaves are not meant to be a mouthpiece for the Republican party, nor any other.

Sinclair and its affiliates are obligated by law to serve the public interest. It is not in the public interest of the community to view a skewed view of John Kerry's service record. Unless Sinclair will also give equal time to his opponent's "service" record.

The current administration elected to encourage media monopolization when it had the chance to legally discourage it. Can it be assumed that this is a quid pro quo in exchange for that favorable vote? Thank you.